arXiv:1303.3065v1 [math.CV] 13 Mar 2013

A NOTE ON SCHWARZ-PICK LEMMA FOR BOUNDED COMPLEX-VALUED HARMONIC FUNCTIONS IN THE UNIT BALL OF $\mathbb{R}^n$

SHAOYU DAI AND YIFEI PAN

Abstract. In this paper we prove a Schwarz-Pick lemma for bounded complex-valued harmonic functions in the unit ball of $\mathbb{R}^n$.

MSC (2000): 31B05, 31C05.
Keywords: harmonic functions, Schwarz-Pick lemma.

1. Introduction

This paper is a note about Chen's paper [1]. Using the same method in [1], we obtain a result Theorem 1, which extends the Schwarz-Pick lemma [1] for planar harmonic mappings to bounded complex-valued harmonic functions in the unit ball of $\mathbb{R}^n$. In addition, motivated by [1] and this paper, we consider a Schwarz lemma for harmonic mappings between real unit balls in another paper. Now we introduce some denotation and the background.

Let n be a positive integer greater than 1. $\mathbb{R}^n$ is the real space of dimension n. For $x = (x_1, \cdots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, let $|x| = (|x_1|^2 + \cdots + |x_n|^2)^{1/2}$. Let $\mathbb{B}^n = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| < 1\}$ be the unit ball of $\mathbb{R}^n$. The unit sphere, the boundary of $\mathbb{B}^n$ is denoted by S; normalized surface-area measure on S is denoted by σ (so that $\sigma(S) = 1$). Let S^+ denote the northern hemisphere $\{x = (x_1, \cdots, x_n) \in S : x_n > 0\}$ and let S^- denote the southern hemisphere $\{x = (x_1, \cdots, x_n) \in S : x_n < 0\}$. $N = (0, \cdots, 0, 1)$ denotes the north pole of S. $B_r = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| < r\}$ is the open ball centered at origin of radius r; its closure is the closed ball $\overline{B}_r$. A twice continuously differentiable, complex-valued function F defined on $\mathbb{B}^n$ is harmonic on $\mathbb{B}^n$ if and only if $\Delta F \equiv 0$, where $\Delta = D_1^2 + \cdots + D_n^2$ and D_j^2 denotes the second partial derivative with respect to the j^{th} coordinate variable x_j. By Ω_n, we denote the class of all complex-valued harmonic functions $F(x)$ on $\mathbb{B}^n$ with $|F(x)| < 1$ for $x \in \mathbb{B}^n$.

Let $\mathbb{D}$ be the unit disk in the complex plane $\mathbb{C}$. Denote the disk $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z < r|\}$ by D_r; its closure is the closed disk $\overline{D}_r$.

For a holomorphic function f from $\mathbb{D}$ into $\mathbb{D}$, the classical Schwarz lemma says that if $f(0) = 0$, then

$$|f(z)| \leq |z| \tag{1.1}$$

holds for $z \in \mathbb{D}$. For $0 < r < 1$, (1.1) may be written in the following form:

$$f(\overline{D}_r) \subset \overline{D}_r. \tag{1.2}$$

So the classical Schwarz lemma can be regarded as considering the region of $f(\overline{D}_r)$. If the condition $f(0) = 0$ is relaxed, then what the region of $f(\overline{D}_r)$ is. The answer can be found in the classical Schwarz-Pick lemma. By Schwarz-Pick lemma [2], it is known that

$$\frac{|f(z_1) - f(z_2)|}{|1 - \overline{f(z_2)} f(z_1)|} \leq \frac{|z_1 - z_2|}{|1 - \overline{z_2} z_1|} \tag{1.3}$$

Research supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 11201199) and by the Scientific Research Foundation of Jinling Institute of Technology (No. Jit-b-201221).

holds for $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{D}$. Using the notations

$$d_p(z_1, z_2) = \frac{|z_1 - z_2|}{|1 - \overline{z_2} z_1|}$$

for the pseudo-distance between $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{D}$, we know that

$$d_p(f(z_1), f(z_2)) \leq d_p(z_1, z_2) \tag{1.4}$$

for $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{D}$ by (1.3). Denote $\overline{\triangle}(z, r) = \{\zeta \in \mathbb{D} : d_p(\zeta, z) \leq r, z \in \mathbb{D}, 0 < r < 1$ for the closed pseudo-disk with center at z and pseudo-radius r. Then (1.4) may be written in the following form:

$$f(\overline{\triangle}(z, r)) \subset \overline{\triangle}(f(z), r)$$

for $z \in \mathbb{D}$ and $0 < r < 1$. Note that $\overline{\triangle}(0, r) = \overline{D}_r$. So for f without the assumption $f(0) = 0$, we know

$$f(\overline{D}_r) \subset \overline{\triangle}(f(0), r). \tag{1.5}$$

When $f(0) = 0$, (1.5) becomes (1.2).

For a complex-valued harmonic function F on $\mathbb{D}$ such that $F(\mathbb{D}) \subset \mathbb{D}$ and $F(0) = 0$, it is known [3] that

$$F(z) \leq \frac{4}{\pi} \arctan|z| \tag{1.6}$$

holds for $z \in \mathbb{D}$. For $0 < r < 1$, (1.6) may be written in the following form:

$$F(\overline{D}_r) \subset \overline{D}_{\frac{4}{\pi} \arctan r}. \tag{1.7}$$

If the condition $F(0) = 0$ is relaxed, then what the region of $F(\overline{D}_r)$ is. Unfortunately, the composition $f \circ F$ of a harmonic function F and a holomorphic function f do not need to be harmonic, so it is a serious problem to seek the estimate corresponding to (1.5) for a harmonic function F without the assumption $F(0) = 0$. Fortunately, Chen resolved this problem in [1]. In [1], for any $0 < r < 1$ and $0 \leq \rho < 1$, the author construct a closed domain $E_{r,\rho}$, which contains ρ and is symmetric to the real axis, with the following properties: Let $z \in \mathbb{D}$ and $w = \rho e^{i\alpha}$ be given. For every complex-valued harmonic function F with $F(\mathbb{D}) \subset \mathbb{D}$ and $F(z) = w$, the author has $F(\overline{\triangle}(z, r)) \subset e^{i\alpha} E_{r,\rho} = \{e^{i\alpha}\zeta : \zeta \in E_{r,\rho}\}$; conversely, for every $w' \in e^{i\alpha} E_{r,\rho}$, there exists a complex-valued harmonic function F such that $F(\mathbb{D}) \subset \mathbb{D}$, $F(z) = w$ and $F(z') = w'$ for some $z' \in \partial\triangle(z, r)$. Obviously, by Chen's result, we know that for a complex-valued harmonic function F on $\mathbb{D}$ such that $F(\mathbb{D}) \subset \mathbb{D}$ without the assumption $F(0) = 0$, if $F(0) = \rho e^{i\alpha}$, then

$$F(\overline{D}_r) \subset e^{i\alpha} E_{r,\rho}, \tag{1.8}$$

which is sharp. (1.8) is the estimate for complex-valued harmonic functions corresponding to (1.5). Note that a complex-valued harmonic function F on $\mathbb{D}$ such that $F(\mathbb{D}) \subset \mathbb{D}$ can be seen as $F \in \Omega_2$. So it is natural to consider the same problem in Ω_n.

For $F \in \Omega_n$, harmonic Schwarz lemma [4] says that if $F(0) = 0$, then

$$|F(x)| \leq U(|x|N) \tag{1.9}$$

holds for $x \in \mathbb{B}^n$, where U is the Poisson integral of the function that equals 1 on S^+ and -1 on S^-. For $0 < r < 1$, (1.9) may be written in the following form:

$$F(\overline{B}_r) \subset \overline{D}_{U(rN)}. \tag{1.10}$$

If the condition $F(0) = 0$ is relaxed, then what the region of $F(\overline{B}_r)$ is. This problem will be solved in this paper.

In this paper, by the same method in [1], we obtain the following theorem about the region of $F(\overline{B}_r)$. The result is sharp. When $n = 2$, our result is coincident with (1.8). And when $F(0) = 0$, our result is coincident with (1.10). Note that in the following theorem, $E_{r,\rho}$ is defined as (3.1).

Theorem 1. *Let $0 \le \rho < 1$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and $0 < r < 1$ be given. Then for every harmonic function F with $F(\mathbb{B}^n) \subset \mathbb{D}$ and $F(0) = \rho e^{i\alpha}$, we have $F(\overline{B}_r) \subset e^{i\alpha} E_{r,\rho} = \{e^{i\alpha}\zeta : \zeta \in E_{r,\rho}\}$; conversely, for every $w' \in e^{i\alpha}E_{r,\rho}$, there exists a harmonic function F such that $F(\mathbb{B}^n) \subset \mathbb{D}$, $F(0) = \rho e^{i\alpha}$ and $F(rN) = w'$.*

The theorem above will be proved by three steps as follows.
Step 1: find the extremal line of $F(\overline{B}_r)$ in the normal direction of e^{0i}, which is related to the value of $F(0)$.
Step 2: find the extremal line of $F(\overline{B}_r)$ in the normal direction of a given direction. For a given direction of $e^{i\beta}$ with $-\pi \le \beta \le \pi$, construct a new harmonic function $F_\beta = e^{-i\beta}F$ through rotating $F(\overline{B}_r)$ by an anti-clockwise rotation of angle β. Using the result of Step1, we will have the the extremal line of $F_\beta(\overline{B}_r)$ in the normal direction of e^{0i}, which is denoted by l'_β. Note that $F(\overline{B}_r)$ can be obtained from $F_\beta(\overline{B}_r)$ by a clockwise rotation of angle β. Then the extremal line of $F(\overline{B}_r)$ in the normal direction of $e^{i\beta}$, which is denoted by l_β, can be obtained from l'_β by a clockwise rotation of angle β.
Step 3: using the result of Step2, we will obtain all the extremal lines of $F(\overline{B}_r)$ in every normal direction, with which we can wrap $F(\overline{B}_r)$ and obtain the region of $F(\overline{B}_r)$.
Step 1 will be solved in Section 2. Step 2 and Step 3 will be solved in Section 3.

2. Some lemmas

In this section, we will introduce some lemmas, which are important for the proof of Theorem 2. Lemma 1 will be used in Lemma 2. Lemma 2 will be used in Lemma 3. Lemma 3 and Lemma 4 will be used in Theorem 2.

Now we give Lemma 1 first. Lemma 1 constructs a bijection (R, I) from $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^+$ onto the upper half disk $\{(a, b) : a \in \mathbb{R}, b \in \mathbb{R}, a^2 + b^2 < 1,\ b > 0\}$, which will be used to construct $u_{a,b,r}$ in Lemma 2 for the case that $b > 0$.

For $0 < r < 1$, $\mu > 0$ and real number λ, define

$$A_{r,\lambda,\mu}(\omega) = \frac{1}{\mu}\left(\frac{1}{|rN - \omega|^n} - \lambda\right), \qquad \omega \in S, \tag{2.1}$$

and

$$R(r, \lambda, \mu) = \int_S \frac{A_{r,\lambda,\mu}(\omega)}{\sqrt{1 + A^2_{r,\lambda,\mu}(\omega)}}\, d\sigma, \quad I(r, \lambda, \mu) = \int_S \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + A^2_{r,\lambda,\mu}(\omega)}}\, d\sigma. \tag{2.2}$$

The idea of the conformation of $A_{r,\lambda,\mu}(\omega)$, $R(r, \lambda, \mu)$ and $I(r, \lambda, \mu)$ originates from the needs of (2.16) and (2.21).

Lemma 1. *Let $0 < r < 1$ be fixed. Then, there exist a unique pair of real functions $\lambda = \lambda(r, a, b)$ and $\mu = \mu(r, a, b) > 0$, defined on the upper half disk $\{(a, b) : a^2 + b^2 < 1,\ b > 0\}$ and analytic in the real sense, such that $R(r, \lambda(r, a, b), \mu(r, a, b)) = a$ and $I(r, \lambda(r, a, b), \mu(r, a, b)) = b$ for any point (a, b) in the half disk.*

Proof. A simple calculation gives

$$\frac{\partial R(r, \lambda, \mu)}{\partial \lambda} = -\frac{1}{\mu}\int_S \frac{1}{(1 + A^2_{r,\lambda,\mu}(\omega))^{3/2}} d\sigma, \tag{2.3}$$

$$\frac{\partial R(r, \lambda, \mu)}{\partial \mu} = -\frac{1}{\mu}\int_S \frac{A_{r,\lambda,\mu}(\omega)}{(1 + A^2_{r,\lambda,\mu}(\omega))^{3/2}} d\sigma, \tag{2.4}$$

$$\frac{\partial I(r, \lambda, \mu)}{\partial \lambda} = \frac{1}{\mu}\int_S \frac{A_{r,\lambda,\mu}(\omega)}{(1 + A^2_{r,\lambda,\mu}(\omega))^{3/2}} d\sigma, \tag{2.5}$$

$$\frac{\partial I(r,\lambda,\mu)}{\partial \mu} = \frac{1}{\mu}\int_S \frac{A^2_{r,\lambda,\mu}(\omega)}{(1+A^2_{r,\lambda,\mu}(\omega))^{3/2}}d\sigma. \tag{2.6}$$

It is easy to see that
(i) by (2.3), $\partial R(r,\lambda,\mu)/\partial\lambda < 0$ for any λ and $\mu > 0$, and $R(r,\lambda,\mu)$ is strictly decreasing as a function of λ for a fixed μ;
(ii) by (2.2), for a fixed μ, $R(r,\lambda,\mu) \to -1$ or 1 according to $\lambda \to +\infty$ or $\lambda \to -\infty$;
(iii) by (2.3) - (2.6) and the convexity of the square function,

$$\frac{\partial R(r,\lambda,\mu)}{\partial\lambda}\frac{\partial I(r,\lambda,\mu)}{\partial\mu} - \frac{\partial R(r,\lambda,\mu)}{\partial\mu}\frac{\partial I(r,\lambda,\mu)}{\partial\lambda} < 0$$

for any λ and $\mu > 0$;
(iiii) by (2.2), $0 < I(r,\lambda,\mu) < 1$ for any λ and $\mu > 0$.

By (i) and (ii), we know that for fixed μ, $R_(r,\lambda,\mu)$ is strictly decreasing from 1 to -1 as λ increasing from $-\infty$ to $+\infty$. Then for any $-1 < a < 1$ and fixed μ, there exists a unique real number $\lambda(\mu,a)$ such that

$$R(r,\lambda,\mu)\,\big|_{\lambda=\lambda(\mu,a)} = a. \tag{2.7}$$

Further, using the implicit function theorem, we have that the function $\lambda = \lambda(\mu,a)$ defined on $\{(\mu,a) : \mu > 0, -1 < a < 1\}$ is a continuous function and

$$\frac{\partial\lambda(\mu,a)}{\partial\mu} = -\left(\frac{\partial R(r,\lambda,\mu)}{\partial\mu}\Big/\frac{\partial R(r,\lambda,\mu)}{\partial\lambda}\right)\Bigg|_{\lambda=\lambda(\mu,a)}.$$

Next, we consider the function $I(r,\lambda(\mu,a),\mu)$ for $\mu > 0$.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\partial I(r,\lambda(\mu,a),\mu)}{\partial\mu}\\
&= \left(\frac{\partial I(r,\lambda,\mu)}{\partial\lambda}\frac{\partial\lambda(\mu,a)}{\partial\mu} + \frac{\partial I(r,\lambda,\mu)}{\partial\mu}\right)\Bigg|_{\lambda=\lambda(\mu,a)}\\
&= \left(\left(\frac{\partial R(r,\lambda,\mu)}{\partial\lambda}\frac{\partial I(r,\lambda,\mu)}{\partial\mu} - \frac{\partial R(r,\lambda,\mu)}{\partial\mu}\frac{\partial I(r,\lambda,\mu)}{\partial\lambda}\right)\Big/\frac{\partial R(r,\lambda,\mu)}{\partial\lambda}\right)\Bigg|_{\lambda=\lambda(\mu,a)}.
\end{aligned}$$

By (i) and (iii), we have $\frac{\partial I(r,\lambda(\mu,a),\mu)}{\partial\mu} > 0$, which shows that $I(r,\lambda(\mu,a),\mu)$ is strictly increasing as a function of μ on $(0,+\infty)$ for a fixed a. Note that (iiii). Thus, for a fixed a, $I(r,\lambda(\mu,a),\mu)$ respectively has finite limit as $\mu \to 0$ and as $\mu \to +\infty$.

For a fixed a, we claim that $I(r,\lambda(\mu,a),\mu) \to 0$ as $\mu \to 0$, and $I(r,\lambda(\mu,a),\mu) \to \sqrt{1-a^2}$ as $\mu \to +\infty$.

As $\mu \to 0$, there exists a subsequence $\mu_k \to 0$ such that $\lambda(\mu_k,a)$ has a finite limit t or tend to ∞. We only need to prove that $I(r,\lambda(\mu_k,a),\mu_k) \to 0$ as $k \to \infty$. Since $I(r,\lambda(\mu_k,a),\mu_k) = \int_S \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+A^2_{r,\lambda(\mu_k,a),\mu_k}(\omega)}}\,d\sigma$, we only need to prove that $|A_{r,\lambda(\mu_k,a),\mu_k}(\omega)| \to +\infty$ almost everywhere on S. Note that

$$|A_{r,\lambda(\mu_k,a),\mu_k}(\omega)| = \frac{1}{\mu_k}\left|\frac{1}{|rN-\omega|^n} - \lambda(\mu_k,a)\right|$$

and

$$\frac{1}{(1+r)^n} \le \frac{1}{|rN-\omega|^n} \le \frac{1}{(1-r)^n}.$$

If $\lambda(\mu_k,a) \to t$ as $k \to \infty$, then $\frac{1}{|rN-\omega|^n} - \lambda(\mu_k,a)$ is bounded and $\frac{1}{|rN-\omega|^n} - \lambda(\mu_k,a) \ne 0$ almost everywhere on S. Thus $|A_{r,\lambda(\mu_k,a),\mu_k}(\omega)| \to +\infty$ almost everywhere on S. If $\lambda(\mu_k,a) \to \infty$ as $k \to \infty$, then it is obvious that $|A_{r,\lambda(\mu_k,a),\mu_k}(\omega)| \to +\infty$ uniformly for $\omega \in S$. The first claim is proved.

As $\mu\to+\infty$, $\frac{1}{\mu}\frac{1}{|rN-\omega|^n}\to 0$ uniformly for $\omega\in S$. If there exists a subsequence $\mu_k\to+\infty$ such that $\lambda(\mu_k,a)/\mu_k\to\infty$, then $|A_{r,\lambda(\mu_k,a),\mu_k}(\omega)|\to+\infty$ uniformly for $\omega\in S$, and $I(r,\lambda(\mu_k,a),\mu_k)\to 0$, a contradiction. This shows that for $\lambda(\mu,a)/\mu$ is bounded as $\mu\to+\infty$. Thus there exists a subsequence $\mu_k\to+\infty$ such that $-\lambda(\mu_k,a)/\mu_k$ tend to a finite limit t. That is

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}-\lambda(\mu_k,a)/\mu_k=t. \tag{2.8}$$

we only need to prove that $I(r,\lambda(\mu_k,a),\mu_k)\to\sqrt{1-a^2}$ as $k\to\infty$. Let $(A(\omega))_k=A_{r,\lambda(\mu_k,a),\mu_k}(\omega)$. By (2.1), (2.8) and $\mu_k\to+\infty$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{k\to\infty}\frac{(A(\omega))_k}{\sqrt{1+((A(\omega))_k)^2}}&=\lim_{k\to\infty}\frac{\frac{1}{\mu}_k\left(\frac{1}{|rN-\omega|^n}-\lambda(\mu_k,a)\right)}{\sqrt{1+\frac{1}{\mu_k^2}\left(\frac{1}{|rN-\omega|^n}-\lambda(\mu_k,a)\right)^2}}\\&=\lim_{k\to\infty}\frac{-\frac{\lambda(\mu_k,a)}{\mu_k}}{\sqrt{1+\left(\frac{\lambda(\mu_k,a)}{\mu_k}\right)^2}}=\frac{t}{\sqrt{1+t^2}}\end{aligned}\tag{2.9}$$

uniformly for $\omega\in S$, and

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{k\to\infty}\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+((A(\omega))_k)^2}}&=\lim_{k\to\infty}\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\frac{1}{\mu_k^2}\left(\frac{1}{|rN-\omega|^n}-\lambda(\mu_k,a)\right)^2}}\\&=\lim_{k\to\infty}\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\left(\frac{\lambda(\mu_k,a)}{\mu_k}\right)^2}}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+t^2}}\end{aligned}\tag{2.10}$$

uniformly for $\omega\in S$. By the Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem and (2.2), (2.9), (2.10) we have

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{k\to\infty}R(r,\lambda(\mu_k,a),\mu_k)&=\lim_{k\to\infty}\int_S\frac{(A(\omega))_k}{\sqrt{1+((A(\omega))_k)^2}}\,d\sigma\\&=\int_S\lim_{k\to\infty}\frac{(A(\omega))_k}{\sqrt{1+((A(\omega))_k)^2}}\,d\sigma\\&=\frac{t}{\sqrt{1+t^2}},\end{aligned}\tag{2.11}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{k\to\infty}I(r,\lambda(\mu_k,a),\mu_k)&=\lim_{k\to\infty}\int_S\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+((A(\omega))_k)^2}}\,d\sigma\\&=\int_S\lim_{k\to\infty}\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+((A(\omega))_k)^2}}\,d\sigma\\&=\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+t^2}}.\end{aligned}\tag{2.12}$$

Note that $R(r,\lambda(\mu_k,a),\mu_k)\equiv a$ by (2.7), and $\left(\frac{t}{\sqrt{1+t^2}}\right)^2+\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+t^2}}\right)^2=1$. Then by (2.11) we obtain that $\frac{t}{\sqrt{1+t^2}}=a$ and $\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+t^2}}=\sqrt{1-a^2}$. Consequently by (2.12),

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}I(r,\lambda(\mu_k,a),\mu_k)=\sqrt{1-a^2}.$$

The second claim is proved.

It is proved that $I(r,\lambda(\mu,a),\mu)$ is continuous and strictly increasing from 0 to $\sqrt{1-a^2}$ as μ increasing from 0 to $+\infty$. Thus, for any $0<b<\sqrt{1-a^2}$ and $-1<a<1$, there exists a unique real number $\mu(a,b)$ such that

$$I(r,\lambda(\mu(a,b),a),\mu(a,b))=b. \tag{2.13}$$

Further, using the implicit function theorem, we have the function $\mu(a,b)$ defined on $\{(a,b):a^2+b^2<1,\ b>0\}$ is a continuous function.

Denote $\lambda(\mu(a,b),a)$ by $\lambda(r,a,b)$. Denote $\mu(a,b)$ by $\mu(r,a,b)$. We have proved that there exist a unique pair of functions $\lambda=\lambda(r,a,b)$ and $\mu=\mu(r,a,b)$ such that

$$R(r,\lambda(r,a,b),\mu(r,a,b))=a,\quad I(r,\lambda(r,a,b),\mu(r,a,b))=b$$

on the upper half disk. The real analyticity of $\lambda=\lambda(r,a,b)$ and $\mu=\mu(r,a,b)$ is asserted by the implicit function theorem. The lemma is proved. □

Let a and b be two numbers such that $0\le b<1$, $-1<a<1$ and $a^2+b^2<1$. Let $\mathcal{U}_{a,b}$ denote the class of real-valued functions $u\in L^\infty(S)$ satisfying the following conditions:

$$\|u\|_\infty\le 1,\quad \int_S u(\omega)d\sigma=a,\quad \int_S\sqrt{1-u^2(\omega)}d\sigma\ge b. \tag{2.14}$$

Every function $u\in L^\infty(S)$ defines a harmonic function

$$U(x)=\int_S\frac{1-|x|^2}{|x-\omega|^n}u(\omega)d\sigma\quad\text{for}\quad x\in\mathbb{B}^n.$$

Let $0<r<1$ and define a functional L_r on $L^\infty(S)$ by

$$L_r(u)=U(rN)=\int_S\frac{1-r^2}{|rN-\omega|^n}u(\omega)d\sigma. \tag{2.15}$$

Obviously, $\mathcal{U}_{a,b}$ is a closed set, and L_r is a continuous functional on $\mathcal{U}_{a,b}$. Then there exists a extremal function such that L_r attains its maximum on $\mathcal{U}_{a,b}$ at the extremal function. We will claim in the following lemma that the extremal function is unique. In the proof of the following lemma, we will construct a function u_0 first and then prove that u_0 is the unique extremal function, which will be denoted by $u_{a,b,r}$.

Lemma 2. *For any a, b and r satisfying the above conditions, there exists a unique extremal function $u_{a,b,r}\in\mathcal{U}_{a,b}$ such that L_r attains its maximum on $\mathcal{U}_{a,b}$ at $u_{a,b,r}$.*

Proof. Let a, b and r be fixed. First assume that $b>0$. From Lemma 1, we have $\lambda=\lambda(r,a,b)$ and $\mu=\mu(r,a,b)>0$ such that $R(r,\lambda,\mu)=a$ and $I(r,\lambda,\mu)=b$. For the need of (2.21), let

$$u_0(\omega)=\frac{A_{r,\lambda,\mu}(\omega)}{\sqrt{1+A^2_{r,\lambda,\mu}(\omega)}}, \tag{2.16}$$

where $A_{r,\lambda,\mu}(\omega)$ is defined as (2.1). Then $||u_0||_\infty<1$ and by (2.2), we know

$$\int_S u_0(\omega)d\sigma=R(r,\lambda,\mu)=a,\quad \int_S\sqrt{1-u_0^2(\omega)}d\sigma=I(r,\lambda,\mu)=b. \tag{2.17}$$

This means that $u_0\in\mathcal{U}_{a,b}$.

Let $u\in\mathcal{U}_{a,b}$. By (2.14) and (2.17), we have

$$\lambda\int_S(u_0(\omega)-u(\omega))d\sigma=0, \tag{2.18}$$

$$\mu\int_S(\sqrt{1-u_0^2(\omega)}-\sqrt{1-u^2(\omega)})d\sigma\le 0. \tag{2.19}$$

By the Taylor formula of the function $\sqrt{1-x^2}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sqrt{1-u^2(\omega)}-\sqrt{1-u_0^2(\omega)} &= \frac{u_0(\omega)(u_0(\omega)-u(\omega))}{\sqrt{1-u_0^2(\omega)}}-\frac{(u_0(\omega)-u(\omega))^2}{2(1-\xi^2)^{3/2}}\\ &\le \frac{u_0(\omega)(u_0(\omega)-u(\omega))}{\sqrt{1-u_0^2(\omega)}},\end{aligned} \tag{2.20}$$

where ξ is a real number between $u_0(\omega)$ and $u(\omega)$. By (2.16) and (2.1), we have

$$\frac{1}{|rN-\omega|^n}-\lambda-\frac{\mu u_0(\omega)}{\sqrt{1-u_0^2(\omega)}}=0. \tag{2.21}$$

Then by (2.15) and (2.18)-(2.21), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{L_r(u_0)-L_r(u)}{1-r^2}=\int_S\frac{u_0(\omega)-u(\omega)}{|rN-\omega|^n}d\sigma\\ \ge\ &\int_S\frac{u_0(\omega)-u(\omega)}{|rN-\omega|^n}d\sigma-\lambda\int_S(u_0(\omega)-u(\omega))d\sigma-\mu\int_S(\sqrt{1-u^2(\omega)}-\sqrt{1-u_0^2(\omega)})d\sigma\\ =\ &\int_S\frac{u_0(\omega)-u(\omega)}{|rN-\omega|^n}d\sigma-\lambda\int_S(u_0(\omega)-u(\omega))d\sigma-\mu\int_S\frac{u_0(\omega)(u_0(\omega)-u(\omega))}{\sqrt{1-u_0^2(\omega)}}d\sigma\\ &\qquad+\mu\int_S\frac{(u_0(\omega)-u(\omega))^2}{2(1-\xi^2)^{3/2}}d\sigma\\ \ge\ &\int_S\frac{u_0(\omega)-u(\omega)}{|rN-\omega|^n}d\sigma-\lambda\int_S(u_0(\omega)-u(\omega))d\sigma-\mu\int_S\frac{u_0(\omega)(u_0(\omega)-u(\omega))}{\sqrt{1-u_0^2(\omega)}}d\sigma\\ =\ &\int_S(u_0(\omega)-u(\omega))\left(\frac{1}{|rN-\omega|^n}-\lambda-\frac{\mu u_0(\omega)}{\sqrt{1-u_0^2(\omega)}}\right)d\sigma\\ =\ &0.\end{aligned}$$

Thus $L_r(u_0)\ge L_r(u)$ with equality if and only if $\mu\int_S\frac{(u_0(\omega)-u(\omega))^2}{2(1-\xi^2)^{3/2}}d\sigma=0$. Therefore $L_r(u_0)\ge L_r(u)$ with equality if and only if $u(\omega)=u_0(\omega)$ almost everywhere. This shows that $u_0(\omega)$ is the unique extremal function, which will be denoted by $u_{a,b,r}(\omega)$.

Next we consider the case that $b=0$. For a real number d, let

$$S_d=\{x\in S:|N-x|=d\}, \tag{2.22}$$

$$S_d^+=\{x\in S:|N-x|<d\}, \tag{2.23}$$

$$S_d^-=\{x\in S:|N-x|>d\}. \tag{2.24}$$

For a fixed real number a such that $-1<a<1$, there exists a unique real number d_a such that $\sigma(S_{d_a}^+)=\frac{1+a}{2}$ and $\sigma(S_{d_a}^-)=\frac{1-a}{2}$. Let

$$u_0(\omega)=\begin{cases}1, & \omega\in S_{d_a}^+;\\ 0, & \omega\in S_{d_a};\\ -1, & \omega\in S_{d_a}^-.\end{cases} \tag{2.25}$$

We want to prove that u_0 is just the unique extremal function, which will be denoted by $u_{a,0,r}(\omega)$.

It is obvious that $u_0\in\mathcal{U}_{a,0}$. Let $u\in\mathcal{U}_{a,0}$. By (2.14) and (2.25), we have

$$\int_S(u_0(\omega)-u(\omega))d\sigma=0, \tag{2.26}$$

$$u_0(\omega)-u(\omega)\ge 0\quad\text{for}\quad\omega\in S_{d_a}^+, \tag{2.27}$$

$$u_0(\omega)-u(\omega)\le 0\quad\text{for}\quad\omega\in S_{d_a}^-, \tag{2.28}$$

Let

$$J_a = |rN - x_0|, \quad \text{where } x_0 \in S_{d_a}. \tag{2.29}$$

Note that

$$|rN - \omega| < J_a \quad \text{for} \quad \omega \in S^+_{d_a}, \tag{2.30}$$

$$|rN - \omega| > J_a \quad \text{for} \quad \omega \in S^-_{d_a}. \tag{2.31}$$

Then by (2.15) and (2.26)-(2.31), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{L_r(u_0) - L_r(u)}{1 - r^2} \\
&= \int_S \frac{u_0(\omega) - u(\omega)}{|rN - \omega|^n} d\sigma \\
&= \int_S (\frac{1}{|rN - \omega|^n} - \frac{1}{J_a^n})(u_0(\omega) - u(\omega)) d\sigma \\
&= \int_{S^+_{d_a}} (\frac{1}{|rN - \omega|^n} - \frac{1}{J_a^n})(u_0(\omega) - u(\omega)) d\sigma + \int_{S^-_{d_a}} (\frac{1}{|rN - \omega|^n} - \frac{1}{J_a^n})(u_0(\omega) - u(\omega)) d\sigma \\
&\geq 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus $L_r(u_0) \geq L_r(u)$ with equality if and only if $u(\omega) = u_0(\omega)$ almost everywhere. The lemma is proved. □

Let a and b be two real numbers with $a^2 + b^2 < 1$, and $0 < r < 1$. If $b \geq 0$, $u_{a,b,r}$ has been defined in Lemma 2. Now, define

$$v_{a,b,r}(\omega) = \sqrt{1 - u^2_{a,b,r}(\omega)} \quad \text{for} \quad \omega \in S, \tag{2.32}$$

and

$$U_{a,b,r}(x) = \int_S \frac{1 - |x|^2}{|x - \omega|^n} u_{a,b,r}(\omega) d\sigma, \tag{2.33}$$

$$V_{a,b,r}(x) = \int_S \frac{1 - |x|^2}{|x - \omega|^n} v_{a,b,r}(\omega) d\sigma. \tag{2.34}$$

For $b < 0$, let

$$U_{a,b,r}(x) = U_{a,-b,r}(x), \quad V_{a,b,r}(x) = -V_{a,-b,r}(x). \tag{2.35}$$

Then for any $a \in \mathbb{R}$, $b \in \mathbb{R}$ and $a^2 + b^2 < 1$, let

$$F_{a,b,r}(x) = U_{a,b,r}(x) + iV_{a,b,r}(x) \quad \text{for} \quad x \in \mathbb{B}^n. \tag{2.36}$$

The harmonic function $F_{a,b,r}(x) = U_{a,b,r}(x) + iV_{a,b,r}(x)$ satisfies $F_{a,b,r}(0) = a + bi$ and $F_{a,b,r}(\mathbb{B}^n) \subset \mathbb{D}$, since we will show that $|U_{a,b,r}(x)|^2 + |V_{a,b,r}(x)|^2 < 1$. By the convexity of the square function,

$$|U_{a,b,r}(x)|^2 + |V_{a,b,r}(x)|^2 \leq \int_S \frac{1 - |x|^2}{|x - \omega|^n} (u^2_{a,b,r}(\omega) + v^2_{a,b,r}(\omega)) d\sigma = 1$$

with equality if and only if $u_{a,b,r}(\omega)$ and $v_{a,b,r}(\omega)$ are constants almost everywhere on S. However $u_{a,b,r}(\omega)$ is not possible a constant almost everywhere on S. Thus $|U_{a,b,r}(x)|^2 + |V_{a,b,r}(x)|^2 < 1$.

The functions $F_{a,b,r}$ are the extremal functions in the following lemma.

Lemma 3. *Let $F(x) = U(x) + iV(x)$ be a harmonic function such that $F(\mathbb{B}^n) \subset \mathbb{D}$, $F(0) = a + bi$. Then, for $0 < r < 1$ and $\omega \in S$,*

$$U(r\omega) \leq U_{a,b,r}(rN)$$

with equality at some point $r\omega$ *if and only if* $F(x) = F_{a,b,r}(xA)$*, where* A *is an orthogonal matrix such that* $r\omega A = rN$*,* $U_{a,b,r}$ *is defined as* (2.33) *and* (2.35)*,* $F_{a,b,r}$ *is defined as* (2.36)*. Further,* $U(x) < U_{a,b,r}(rN)$ *for* $|x| < r$*.*

Proof. Step 1: First the case that $r\omega = rN$ will be proved. Let $0 < \tilde{r} < 1$ be fixed. Construct function

$$G(x) = F(\tilde{r}x) \quad \text{for} \quad x \in \overline{\mathbb{B}}^n.$$

$G(x)$ is harmonic on $\overline{\mathbb{B}}^n$ and $G(0) = a + bi$. Let $G(x) = u(x) + iv(x)$. Then

$$\|u\|_\infty \le 1, \quad \int_S u(\omega)d\sigma = a, \quad \int_S \sqrt{1 - u^2(\omega)}d\sigma \ge \int_S |v(\omega)|d\sigma \ge \left|\int_S v(\omega)d\sigma\right| = |b|. \tag{2.37}$$

So by (2.14) we know that $u \in \mathcal{U}_{a,|b|}$ and by Lemma 2, we have $u(rN) \le U_{a,b,r}(rN)$ with equality if and only if $u(\omega) = u_{a,|b|,r}(\omega)$ almost everywhere on S. For $u_{a,|b|,r}(\omega)$, by (2.17) and (2.25) we have

$$\int_S \sqrt{1 - u^2_{a,|b|,r}(\omega)}d\sigma = |b|. \tag{2.38}$$

If $u(\omega) = u_{a,|b|,r}(\omega)$ almost everywhere on S, then by (2.33) and (2.35), we have

$$u(x) = U_{a,|b|,r}(x) = U_{a,b,r}(x) \ \text{ for } x \in \mathbb{B}^n;$$

and by (2.32), we have

$$v_{a,|b|,r}(\omega) = \sqrt{1 - u^2_{a,|b|,r}(\omega)} = \sqrt{1 - u^2(\omega)}. \tag{2.39}$$

Note that by (2.37), (2.38) and (2.39) we have

$$|b| = \int_S v_{a,|b|,r}(\omega)d\sigma \ge \int_S |v(\omega)|d\sigma \ge \left|\int_S v(\omega)d\sigma\right| = |b|.$$

Then

$$v(\omega) = v_{a,|b|,r}(\omega) \quad \text{almost everywhere on} \quad S \quad \text{when} \quad b \ge 0,$$
$$v(\omega) = -v_{a,|b|,r}(\omega) \quad \text{almost everywhere on} \quad S \quad \text{when} \quad b < 0.$$

So

$$v(x) = V_{a,b,r}(x) \ \text{ for } x \in \mathbb{B}^n.$$

For $G(x) = u(x) + iv(x)$, it is proved that $u(rN) \le U_{a,b,r}(rN)$ with equality if and only if $G(x) = F_{a,b,r}(x)$. Now let $\tilde{r} \to 1$. Note that

$$\lim_{\tilde{r}\to 1} G(x) = \lim_{\tilde{r}\to 1} F(\tilde{r}x) = F(x), \quad \lim_{\tilde{r}\to 1} u(rN) = U(rN).$$

Then by the result for $G(x)$, we have $U(rN) \le U_{a,b,r}(rN)$ with equality if and only if $F(x) = F_{a,b,r}(x)$.

Step 2: Now we prove the case that $r\omega \ne rN$. Construct function

$$\tilde{F}(x) = F(xA^{-1}) \quad \text{for} \quad x \in \mathbb{B}^n,$$

where A is an orthogonal matrix such that $r\omega A = rN$ and A^{-1} is the inverse matrix of A. By [4], we know that $\tilde{F}(x)$ is also a harmonic function. Let $\tilde{F}(x) = \tilde{U}(x) + i\tilde{V}(x)$. Note that $\tilde{F}(0) = a + bi$. Then by the result of step 1, we have $\tilde{U}(rN) \le U_{a,b,r}(rN)$ with equality if and only if $\tilde{F}(x) = F_{a,b,r}(x)$. Note that $\tilde{U}(rN) = U(rNA^{-1}) = U(r\omega)$ and $\tilde{F}(x) = F(xA^{-1})$. Thus $U(r\omega) \le U_{a,b,r}(rN)$ with equality if and only if $F(xA^{-1}) = F_{a,b,r}(x)$. It is just that $U(r\omega) \le U_{a,b,r}(rN)$ with equality if and only if $F(x) = F_{a,b,r}(xA)$.

Step 3: We will show that $U(x) < U_{a,b,r}(rN)$ for $|x| < r$. By the result of step 2 and the maximum principle, we have $U(x) \le U_{a,b,r}(rN)$ for $|x| \le r$. If the equality holds for some x_0 with $|x_0| < r$, then $U(x)$ must be equal to $U_{a,b,r}(rN)$ identically for $|x| \le r$. Note that if $U(rN) = U_{a,b,r}(rN)$, then by the result of step 1, we have $U(x) = U_{a,b,r}(x)$. Thus $U_{a,b,r}(x) \equiv U_{a,b,r}(rN)$ for $|x| \le r$. However, it is impossible since $U_{a,b,r}$ is not a constant. The proof of the lemma is complete. □

Lemma 4. *For fixed $0 < r < 1$ and $x \in \mathbb{B}^n$, $F_{a,b,r}(x)$ is defined as (2.36). Then $F_{a,b,r}(x)$, as a function of variables a and b, is analytic in the real sense on the open half disk $\{(a,b) : b > 0, a^2 + b^2 < 1\}$ and is continuous to the real diameter.*

Proof. Let $0 < r < 1$ and $x \in \mathbb{B}^n$ be fixed. It is obvious that $F_{a,b,r}(x)$ is analytic in the real sense on the open half disk, since it is determined there by the functions $\lambda(r,a,b)$ and $\mu(r,a,b)$ formulated in Lemma 1, which are analytic in the real sense on the open half disk $\{(a,b) : b > 0, a^2 + b^2 < 1\}$. We only need to prove that $F_{a,b,r}(x)$ is continuous at the points of the real diameter. Note that (2.36). Then we only need to prove that $U_{a,b,r}(x)$ and $V_{a,b,r}(x)$ are continuous at the points of the real diameter.

Let $-1 < a_0 < 1$ be given. We want to prove that $U_{a,b,r}(x)$ and $V_{a,b,r}(x)$ is continuous at $(a_0, 0)$. It is just to prove that $U_{a,b,r}(x) \to U_{a_0,0,r}(x)$ and $V_{a,b,r}(x) \to V_{a_0,0,r}(x)$ as $(a,b) \to (a_0, 0)$.

Step 1: For the case that $(a,b) \to (a_0, 0)$ with $b = 0$, by (2.33) and (2.34), we only need to prove $u_{a,0,r}(\omega) \to u_{a_0,0,r}(\omega)$ almost everywhere on S as $(a,0) \to (a_0, 0)$. Recall that

$$u_{a,0,r}(\omega) = \begin{cases} 1, & \omega \in S^+_{d_a}; \\ 0, & \omega \in S_{d_a}; \\ -1, & \omega \in S^-_{d_a}, \end{cases}$$

where $S^+_{d_a}$, S_{d_a} and $S^-_{d_a}$ are defined as (2.22), (2.23) and (2.24). This shows that $u_{a,0,r}(\omega) \to u_{a_0,0,r}(\omega)$ almost everywhere on S as $(a,0) \to (a_0, 0)$.

Step 2: For the case that $(a,b) \to (a_0, 0)$ with $b > 0$, by (2.33) and (2.34), we only need to prove $u_{a,b,r}(\omega) \to u_{a_0,0,r}(\omega)$ for any $\omega \in S$ as $(a,b) \to (a_0, 0)$ with $b > 0$.

First we want to prove that $\mu(r,a,b) \to 0$ as $(a,b) \to (a_0, 0)$ with $b > 0$, where $\mu(r,a,b)$ is defined as $\mu(a,b)$ in (2.13). Assume that $\mu(r,a,b) \nrightarrow 0$ as $(a,b) \to (a_0,0)$ with $b > 0$. Then there exists a sequence $(a_k, b_k) \to (a_0, 0)$ with $b_k > 0$ such that $\mu_k = \mu(r, a_k, b_k)$ has a positive lower bound since $\mu(r,a,b) > 0$. Then by (2.13) and (2.2), we have

$$\int_S \left(1 + \frac{1}{\mu_k^2}\left(\frac{1}{|rN - \omega|^n} - \lambda_k\right)^2\right)^{-1/2} d\sigma = I(r, \lambda_k, \mu_k) = b_k \to 0,$$

where $\lambda_k = \lambda(r, a_k, b_k)$, $\lambda(r,a,b)$ is defined as $\lambda(\mu(a,b), a)$ in (2.13). Thus $\lambda_k \to \infty$. Assume that $\lambda_k \to +\infty$. Then by (2.16), (2.1) and (2.17), we obtain that

$$u_{a_k,b_k,r}(\omega) = \frac{\frac{1}{\mu_k}\left(\frac{1}{|rN-\omega|^n} - \lambda_k\right)}{\left(1 + \frac{1}{\mu_k^2}\left(\frac{1}{|rN-\omega|^n} - \lambda_k\right)^2\right)^{1/2}} \to -1,$$

uniformly for $\omega \in S$, and $a_k \to -1$, a contradiction.

Now, we want to prove that

$$\lambda(r,a,b) \to \lambda_0 = \frac{1}{J^n_{a_0}}$$

as $(a,b) \to (a_0, 0)$ with $b > 0$, where $J^n_{a_0}$ is defined as (2.29). In contrary, assume that $\lambda(r,a,b) \nrightarrow \lambda_0$ as $(a,b) \to (a_0,0)$ with $b > 0$. Then there is a sequence $(a_k, b_k) \to (a_0, 0)$ with $b_k > 0$ such that $\lambda_k = \lambda(r, a_k, b_k) \to \lambda' \neq \lambda_0$. If $\lambda' = \infty$, then, as above, $|a_k| \to 1$, a contradiction. In the case that λ' is finite, by (2.16), (2.1) and (2.17) we have

$$u_{a_k,b_k,r}(\omega) = \frac{\frac{1}{|rN-\omega|^n} - \lambda_k}{\left(\mu_k^2\left(\frac{1}{|rN-\omega|^n} - \lambda_k\right)^2\right)^{1/2}} \to \operatorname{sgn}\left\{\frac{1}{|rN - \omega|^n} - \lambda'\right\},$$

$$a_k = \int_S u_{a_k,b_k,r}(\omega) d\sigma \to \int_S \operatorname{sgn}\left\{\frac{1}{|rN - \omega|^n} - \lambda'\right\} d\sigma$$

$$= \begin{cases} -1, & \lambda' \geq 1/(1-r)^n; \\ 1, & \lambda' \leq 1/(1+r)^n; \\ a', & \lambda' = 1/J^n_{a'},\ -1 < a' < 1,\ a' \neq a_0. \end{cases}$$

This contradicts $a_k \to a_0$.

It is proved that $\mu(r,a,b) \to 0$ and $\lambda(r,a,b) \to \lambda_0$ as $(a,b) \to (a_0, 0)$ with $b > 0$. Thus,

$$u_{a,b,r}(\omega) \to \operatorname{sgn}\left\{\frac{1}{|rN-\omega|^n} - \lambda_0\right\} = u_{a_0,0,r}(\omega).$$

Step 3: For the case that $(a,b) \to (a_0,0)$ with $b < 0$, by the result of step 2, we know that $U_{a,-b,r}(x) \to U_{a_0,0,r}(x)$ and $V_{a,-b,r}(x) \to V_{a_0,0,r}(x)$ as $(a,-b) \to (a_0,0)$ with $-b > 0$. Note that $U_{a,-b,r}(x) = U_{a,b,r}(x)$, $V_{a,-b,r}(x) = -V_{a,b,r}(x)$ and $V_{a_0,0,r}(x) \equiv 0$. Then we have $U_{a,b,r}(x) \to U_{a_0,0,r}(x)$ and $V_{a,b,r}(x) \to V_{a_0,0,r}(x) = 0$ as $(a,b) \to (a_0,0)$ with $b < 0$.

It is proved that $U_{a,b,r}(x)$ and $V_{a,b,r}(x)$ is continuous at $(a_0, 0)$. The lemma is proved. □

3. Main results

For $-\pi \leq \beta \leq \pi$ and real number δ, denote the straight line $l(\beta,\delta)$ and closed half plane $P(\beta,\delta)$ by

$$l(\beta,\delta) = \{w = u+iv : \operatorname{Re}\{we^{-i\beta}\} = u\cos\beta + v\sin\beta = \delta\}$$

and

$$P(\beta,\delta) = \{w = u+iv : \operatorname{Re}\{we^{-i\beta}\} = u\cos\beta + v\sin\beta \leq \delta\}.$$

Theorem 2. *Let $0 < r < 1$ and $0 \leq \rho < 1$. Denote*

$$P_\beta = P(\beta, U_{\rho\cos\beta,-\rho\sin\beta,r}(rN)), \quad l_\beta = l(\beta, U_{\rho\cos\beta,-\rho\sin\beta,r}(rN))),$$

and define

$$E_{r,\rho} = \bigcap_{-\pi\leq\beta\leq\pi} P_\beta, \tag{3.1}$$

$$\Gamma_{r,\rho} = \{w : w = f_{r,\rho}(\beta) = e^{i\beta}F_{\rho\cos\beta,-\rho\sin\beta,r}(rN), -\pi \leq \beta \leq \pi\},$$

where $U_{\rho\cos\beta,-\rho\sin\beta,r}$ is defined as (2.33) *and* (2.35), *$F_{\rho\cos\beta,-\rho\sin\beta,r}$ is defined as* (2.36). *Then:*

1. *For any harmonic function F such that $F(\mathbb{B}^n) \subset \mathbb{D}$ and $F(0) = \rho$, we have $F(\overline{B}_r) \subset E_{r,\rho}$;*
2. *$E_{r,\rho}$ is a closed convex domain and symmetrical with respect to the real axis, and ρ is an interior point of $E_{r,\rho}$;*
3. *$\Gamma_{r,\rho}$ is a convex Jordan closed curve and $\partial E_{r,\rho} = \Gamma_{r,\rho}$;*
4. *For any $w' \in E_{r,\rho}$, there is a harmonic function F such that $F(\mathbb{B}^n) \subset \mathbb{D}$, $F(0) = \rho$ and $F(rN) = w'$.*

Proof. (1) Denote

$$P'_\beta = P(0, U_{\rho\cos\beta,-\rho\sin\beta,r}(rN)), \quad l'_\beta = l(0, U_{\rho\cos\beta,-\rho\sin\beta,r}(rN))).$$

P_β and l_β are obtained from P'_β and l'_β by an anti-clockwise rotation of angle β.

Let F be a harmonic function such that $F(\mathbb{B}^n) \subset \mathbb{D}$ and $F(0) = \rho$. For $-\pi \leq \beta \leq \pi$, let $F_\beta = e^{-i\beta}F$. Then, $F_\beta(\mathbb{B}^n) \subset \mathbb{D}$ and $F_\beta(0) = \rho(\cos\beta - i\sin\beta)$. Using lemma 3 to the harmonic function F_β, we have $F_\beta(\overline{B}_r) \subset P'_\beta$ and, consequently, $F(\overline{B}_r) \subset P_\beta$. This shows (1).

(2) It is obvious that $E_{r,\rho}$ is a closed convex set and symmetrical with respect to the real axis. We only need to prove that ρ is an interior point of $E_{r,\rho}$.

First we want to prove that $f_{r,\rho}(\beta) \in \partial E_{r,\rho}$ for $-\pi \leq \beta \leq \pi$. $f_{r,\rho}(\beta) \in l_\beta$ since $F_{\rho\cos\beta,-\rho\sin\beta,r}(rN) \in l'_\beta$. Let $G(x) = e^{i\beta}F_{\rho\cos\beta,-\rho\sin\beta,r}(x)$. The harmonic function G satisfies the conditions $G(\mathbb{B}^n) \subset \mathbb{D}$ and $G(0) = \rho$. By (1), $f_{r,\rho}(\beta) = G(rN) \in E_{r,\rho}$. Note that $E_{r,\rho} \subset P_\beta$, $l_\beta = \partial P_\beta$ and $f_{r,\rho}(\beta) \in l_\beta$ proved above. Then we have $f_{r,\rho}(\beta) \in \partial E_{r,\rho}$.

For $f_{r,\rho}(0), f_{r,\rho}(\pi), f_{r,\rho}(\pi/2)$ and $f_{r,\rho}(-\pi/2)$, by lemma 3, we have

$$f_{r,\rho}(0) = F_{\rho,0,r}(rN) = U_{\rho,0,r}(rN) > U_{\rho,0,r}(0) = \rho, \tag{3.2}$$

$$\begin{gathered} f_{r,\rho}(\pi) = -F_{-\rho,0,r}(rN) = -U_{-\rho,0,r}(rN) < -U_{-\rho,0,r}(0) = \rho, \\ \mathrm{Im} f_{r,\rho}(\pi/2) = U_{0,-\rho,r}(rN) = U_{0,\rho,r}(rN) > U_{0,\rho,r}(0) = 0, \\ \mathrm{Im} f_{r,\rho}(-\pi/2) = -U_{0,\rho,r}(rN) < -U_{0,\rho,r}(0) = 0. \end{gathered} \tag{3.3}$$

Then ρ is an interior point of $E_{r,\rho}$ since $E_{r,\rho}$ is a convex set.

(3) First we want to prove that $\Gamma_{r,\rho}$ is a Jordan closed curve. $\Gamma_{r,\rho}$ is close and continuous by Lemma 4. Assume that there exist $0 < \beta_1 < \beta_2 < \pi$ such that $w_0 = f_{r,\rho}(\beta_1) = f_{r,\rho}(\beta_2)$. Then $\beta_2 - \beta_1 < \pi$ and w_0 is the vertex of the angular domain $P_{\beta_1} \cap P_{\beta_2}$. Further, it is easy to see that $f_{r,\rho}(\beta) = w_0$ for $\beta_1 < \beta < \beta_2$, since $l_\beta \cap \partial E_{r,\rho} = w_0$ and $f_{r,\rho}(\beta) \in l_\beta \cap \partial E_{r,\rho}$. $f_{r,\rho}(\beta)$ is analytic on $(0,\pi)$ in the real sense by Lemma 4. Then we have that $f_{r,\rho}(\beta) = w_0$ for $0 < \beta < \pi$ and, by the continuity, $f_{r,\rho}(0) = f_{r,\rho}(\pi) = w_0$. A contraction, since $f_{r,\rho}(0) > f_{r,\rho}(\pi)$ by (3.2) and (3.3). This shows that $\Gamma^+_{r,\rho} = \{w = f_{r,\rho}(\beta) : 0 \le \beta \le \pi\}$ is a Jordan curve. By the same reason, $\Gamma^-_{r,\rho} = \{w = f_{r,\rho}(\beta) : -\pi \le \beta \le 0\}$ is also a Jordan curve. Then $\Gamma_{r,\rho}$ is a Jordan closed curve.

For $-\pi \le \beta \le \pi$, it is proved in (2) that $f_{r,\rho}(\beta) \in \partial E_{r,\rho}$. Then $\Gamma_{r,\rho} \subset \partial E_{r,\rho}$. Note that $\partial E_{r,\rho}$ must be a convex Jordan closed curve. Thus $\partial E_{r,\rho} = \Gamma_{r,\rho}$.

(4)For $w' \in E_{r,\rho}$, draw a straight line l passing through w' and intersect $\partial E_{r,\rho}$ at w_1 and w_2. Let $w' = k_1 w_1 + k_2 w_2$ with $k_1, k_2 \ge 0$ and $k_1 + k_2 = 1$. There are two real numbers β_1 and β_2 such that $f_{r,\rho}(\beta_1) = w_1$ and $f_{r,\rho}(\beta_2) = w_2$. Then the harmonic function $F = k_1 e^{i\beta_1} F_{\rho\cos\beta_1, -\rho\sin\beta_1, r} + k_2 e^{i\beta_2} F_{\rho\cos\beta_2, -\rho\sin\beta_2, r}$ satisfies $F(\mathbb{B}^n) \subset \mathbb{D}$, $F(0) = \rho$ and $F(rN) = w'$. The theorem is proved. □

When $\rho = 0$, we have a corollary as follows, which is coincident with (1.10).

Corollary 1. *Let $0 < r < 1$. For any harmonic mapping F such that $F(\mathbb{B}^n) \subset \mathbb{D}$ and $F(0) = 0$, we have*

$$F(\overline{B}_r) \subset \overline{D}_{U(rN)},$$

where U is the Poisson integral of the function that equals 1 on S^+ and -1 on S^-.

Proof. By Theorem 2, we only need to prove that $E_{r,0} = \overline{D}_{U(rN)}$. Further, by the definition of $E_{r,\rho}$ in Theorem 2, we only need to prove that $U_{0,0,r}(rN) = U(rN)$. Note that by (2.25),

$$u_{0,0,r}(\omega) = \begin{cases} 1, & \omega \in S^+; \\ 0, & \omega \in S; \\ -1, & \omega \in S^-. \end{cases}$$

Then by (2.33) we know that $U_{0,0,r}(rN) = U(rN)$. The corollary is proved. □

From Theorem 2, we obtain Theorem 1, which is the general version of the above Theorem 2.

References

[1] Chen H. H., The Schwarz-Pick lemma for planar harmonic mappings, SCIENCE CHINA Mathematics, 2011, 54(6):1101-1118.

[2] Ahlfors L. V., Conformal invariants: Topics in geometric function theory, New York: McGraw-Hill, 1973, 1-3.

[3] Heinz E., On one-to-one harmonic mappings, Pacific J Math, 1959, 9: 101-105.

[4] Axler S., Bourdon P., Wade R., Harmonic function theory, Second Edition, New York: Springer-Verlag, 2001.

Department of General Study Program, Jinling Institute of Technology, Nanjing 211169, China

E-mail address: *dymdsy@163.com*

Department of Mathematical Sciences, Indiana University - Purdue University Fort Wayne, Fort Wayne, IN 46805-1499, USA

E-mail address: *pan@ipfw.edu*